

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,442 07/25/2003		Chien-Min Sung	00802-22001	3424		
20551 THORPE NO	7590 06/27/2007 RTH & WESTERN, LLP.		EXAMINER			
8180 SOUTH	700 EAST, SUITE 350		MARCHESCHI, MICHAEL A			
SANDY, UT 84070			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1755			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		•	06/27/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/622,442			

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20070625a

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

In the pre appeal papers filed 5/24/07, applicants representative was confused as to what statute the claims (claim 1 specifically) are (is) rejected under and state that applicants believe that since the limitations of claim 8 have been incorporated into claim 1, claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 02/09909. This is correct, and if applicants file a brief, they are requested to use this statute to define the rejection applied.

Middael Marcheschi Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755

• 1	Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	10/627,442		SUNG, CHIEN-MIN		
Examiner-initiated interview damine	Examine	r	Art Unit	,	
	Michael A	A. Marcheschi	1755		
All Participants:	Status	of Application:			
(1) Michael A. Marcheschi.	(3)	·			
(2) <u>David W. Osborne</u> .	(4)	·			
Date of Interview: 25 June 2007	Time: _				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's repres	entative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: all					
Prior art documents discussed: all					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet & Alech ment CP	GENERAL NATU	RE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	tion. The examiner	will provide a wine substance of t	ritten summary of t he interview, since	he substance	
	·				
Sent					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's	Representative	Signature – if appr	opriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: informed applicants representative that since the limitations of claim 8 have been incorporated into claim 1, claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 02/09909, as applicants believe to be the case and which is defined in the pre appeal discussion.